

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,975	/663,975 09/16/2003		Jonathan J. Lynch	B-4545NP 620672-7	2675	
36716	7590	11/12/2004		EXAMINER		
LADAS &			NGUYEN, HOANG V			
		ULEVARD, SUITE 2 90036-5679	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2821		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/663,97	75	LYNCH ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Hoang V I	lguyen	2821					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even in. a reply within the state eriod will apply and wi statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) of Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered time on the mailing date of this of NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	oly. communication.				
Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is n	on-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6,12-19 and 25</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>7-11 and 20-24</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2000. Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	3 is/are: a) \square a the drawing(s) borrection is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa						
3) 🔀 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date 12/24/03 & 4/19/04.		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		O-152)				

Application Number: 10/663,975 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-6, 12-19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Sievenpiper et al (USP 6,426,722).

Regarding claim 1, Sievenpiper '722 (Figures 8a-8c) discloses an antenna structure

comprising a high impedance surface, the high impedance surface having a conductive plane 10

and an array of conductive elements 12 spaced from the conductive plane by a distance which is

no greater 25% of a wavelength of an operating frequency of the antenna structure (col 1, lines

50-51), the conductive plane having an opening (not numbered) therein; and an antenna driving

element (feed) disposed adjacent the opening in the conductive plane, which driving element, in

operation, excites the antenna structure by pumping RF energy through the opening in the

conductive plane.

Regarding claim 2, as applied to claim 1, Figure 8b of Sievenpiper '722 shows that the

conductive plane and the array of conductive elements 12 are disposed on opposite side of a

insulating substrate (not numbered).

Regarding claim 3, as applied to claim 2, Figure 3b of Sievenpiper '722 shows that each

of the elements in the array is coupled to the conductive plane 14 by a conductive via 13

arranged through the insulating substrate 11.

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claims 4-6, as applied to claim 3, Figure 8a of Sievenpiper '722 shows that each conductive element in the array of conductive elements is in the shape of a square and wherein the square conductive elements are arranged with a common pitch in the array.

Regarding claim 12, as applied to claim 1, Sievenpiper '722 (col 1, lines 50-51) teaches that the array of conductive elements is spaced from the conductive plane by a distance which is no greater than 10% of a wavelength of an operating frequency of the antenna structure.

Regarding claims 13-19 and 25, the antenna structure of Sievenpiper '722 would enable the method of making a low profile, wide band gap antenna comprising the steps as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 7-11 and 20-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 7 and 20, Sievenpiper '722 fails to specifically teach an antenna or a method of making thereof, among other features, wherein the opening in the conductive plane is rectangular, having a breadth which is about 0.5 of a wavelength to one wavelength of the operating frequency of the antenna structure and a width which is no greater than the common pitch of the conductive elements in the array.

Claims 8-11 would have been found allowable for depending on claim 7.

Claims 21-24 would have been found allowable for depending on claim 20.

Art Unit: 2821

Correspondence

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang V Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1825. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hvn 11/8/04

> HOANG V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER